

Advanced Field Theory: Exercise Sheet 4

Due: Thursday, 20.3.2014

Exercise 6 We will redo the computation of the triangle diagram in QED using Pauli-Villars regularization instead of dimensional regularization. This regularization scheme works by replacing the divergent loop integral by the difference of the original loop integral (where a fermion of mass m circulates in the loop) and the same loop integral with a different fermion mass M :

$$I(\{p_i\}, m) \rightarrow I(\{p_i\}, m) - I(\{p_i\}, M). \quad (1)$$

In the end of the computation, M is removed by sending it to infinity, leaving a finite result.

Let $\Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k)$ denote the Fourier transform of $\langle 0 | T j_\mu^5(z) j_\nu(y) j_\lambda(x) | 0 \rangle$, given by

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m) = i \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} \left[\frac{1}{\ell - \not{k} - m} \gamma_\lambda \frac{1}{\ell - m} \gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\ell + \not{p} - m} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \right] + (p, \nu) \leftrightarrow (k, \lambda). \quad (2)$$

We denote by $\Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m, M)$ the regularized amplitude:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m, M) = \Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m) - \Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, M). \quad (3)$$

a) Convince yourself (by expanding the integrand in powers of $1/\ell^2$) that the linear divergence in Eq. (3) cancels out.

b) Show that

$$(p + k)^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m, M) = 2m \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m) - 2M \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, M), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m) = 2i \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr} \left[\frac{1}{\ell - \not{k} - m} \gamma_\lambda \frac{1}{\ell - m} \gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\ell + \not{p} - m} \gamma_5 - (m \rightarrow M) \right]. \quad (5)$$

Hint: Use $\not{p} + \not{k} = (\ell + \not{p} - m) - (\ell - \not{k} + m) + 2m$ and the fact that second rank pseudotensors depending on only one momentum have to vanish.

c) Show that the Pauli-Villars regularization scheme preserves vector current conservation, i.e.

$$p^\nu \Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m, M) = 0 = k^\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m, M). \quad (6)$$

Hint: Use $\not{p} = (\ell + \not{p} - m) - (\ell - m)$ and the same argument as above. Note that the integrals are finite and thus shifting the integration variable is allowed.

d) Show that $\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m)$ can be written as

$$\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m) = 2 \cdot 4mi \varepsilon^{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} p_\rho k_\sigma F(p, k, m), \quad (7)$$

where

$$F(p, k, m) = i \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(\ell - k)^2 - m^2} \frac{1}{\ell^2 - m^2} \frac{1}{(\ell + p)^2 - m^2}. \quad (8)$$

e) Use the Feynman parameterization to show that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} 2M\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, M) = \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} p_\rho k_\sigma, \quad (9)$$

i.e.

$$(p+k)^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m) = 2m\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^5(p, k, m) - \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \varepsilon_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} p^\rho k^\sigma. \quad (10)$$

Setting $m = 0$, we recover the result derived in the lecture.