

Dissecting collinear splittings of quark and gluon jets at NNLL

Mrinal Dasgupta Zurich, 7th November 2023

Based mainly on work in JHEP 12 (2021) with Basem El-Menoufi and arXiv: 2307.15374 with Pier Monni, Basem El-Menoufi, Jack Helliwell and Melissa van Beekveld





Established by the European Commission



Outline

- Brief motivation for these studies
- Resummation coefficients at NNLL
- Beyond NLL in showers : Extending the K_{CMW} concept and derivation of $B_2\left(z\right)$
- Analysis of results : connection to known IRC safe observable results, fragmentation functions, effective emission probability
- NNLL resummed result for groomed jet observables
- Conclusions



Motivation

Parton shower accuracy

selected collider-QCD accuracy milestones



Taken from talk at Moriond QCD 2023 by G.Salam

- Log accuracy of showers under much scrutiny : a field that had stood relatively still for decades
- Over the same period substantial progress in understanding the structure of QCD in soft and collinear limits and in analytic resummation



Logarithmic accuracy

 $\Sigma(Q) = \sum_{n} c_n \alpha_s^n$

Single scale observable. Accuracy specified by maximum n.

$$\Sigma(Q, vQ) = \sum_{n,m \le 2n} c_{nm} \ \alpha_s^n L^m \qquad v \ll 1 \ L = \ln \frac{1}{v}$$

Multiscale observable. Accuracy specified by n and m.

 $\Sigma(Q, vQ) \sim \exp[Lg_1(\alpha_s L) + g_2(\alpha_s L) + \alpha_s g_3(\alpha_s L) + \cdots]$

- g₁ is leading log (LL). Controls all double log (m= 2n) terms in expansion.
- Including g_2 gives NLL and g_3 is NNLL.
- NLL is a must for accurate pheno.

Multiscale observable with exponentiation. Accuracy depends on g_n

Catani, Trentadue, Turnock and Webber 1992

The University of Mancheste

NLL accurate showers





a = 1, b = 0

- Several widely used dipole showers found to be only LL at leading Nc. MD et al .2018
- Principles identified for NLL MD et al. 2020
- Demonstrably NLL dipole showers constructed

MD et al 2020, Hamilton et al 2020, van Beekveld et al 2022, van Beekveld & Ferrario Ravasio 2023, Nagy & Soper 2011, Forshaw et al. 2020, Herren et al. 2022

MANCHESTER

Herren, Hoeche, Schoenherr, Krauss 2022



NLL criteria





Can we build on accuracy principles identified for NLL?

For NLL: MD, Dreyer, Hamilton, Monni, Salam, Soyez 2020

- Need to reproduce QCD matrix elements in limit where all emissions strongly ordered in at least one of 2 possible logarithmic variables
- Correct inclusion of virtual corrections. Here showers simply exploit unitarity. Only degree of freedom left is coupling scheme.



Towards NNLL?



This suggests need for

- Getting real emission matrix-elements right in limit where pair of emissions are close in Lund plane higher-order splitting kernels
- Known for over 2 decades. Campbell and Glover 1997, Catani & Grazzini 1998
- Including suitable analytical ingredients to take care of virtuals. At NLL done via K Catani, Marchesini Webber 1991. But beyond NLL we need more.

Ferrario Ravasio et al 2023. First NNLL shower for soft limit Hoeche, Krauss, Prestel 2017 Dulat, Hoeche, Prestel 2019



NNLL ingredients

$$S_c(Q,b) = \exp\left\{-\int_{b_0^2/b^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \left[A_c(\alpha_{\rm S}(q^2)) \ln \frac{Q^2}{q^2} + B_c(\alpha_{\rm S}(q^2))\right]\right\}$$

Collins Soper Sterman 1981

$$A_{c}(\alpha_{\rm S}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm S}}{\pi}\right)^{n} A_{c}^{(n)} \qquad \qquad A_{q}^{(1)} = C_{F} , \\ A_{q}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}C_{F}K \\ B_{c}(\alpha_{\rm S}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm S}}{\pi}\right)^{n} B_{c}^{(n)} \qquad \qquad B_{q}^{(1)} = -\frac{3}{2}C_{F} \qquad \qquad \text{Correctly taken care of in NLL} \\ K = \left(\frac{67}{18} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)C_{A} - \frac{10}{9}T_{R}n_{f}$$

- Use NNLL resummation to guide us. Typified by form factor in CSS approach. But basic idea more general
- Resummation accuracy controlled by "A" series of coefficients for the soft limit and "B" series for hard-collinear limit
- To go to NNLL we need to account in the collinear series for B₂ (and in soft series for A₃.)

B₂ and collinear emissions

- A_2 (or K_{CMW}) governs intensity of soft radiation from a hard parton. Related to a physical coupling definition in soft limit
- Similarly B_2 relates to intensity of collinear radiation off a given parton
- Observable dependent but always takes the form

$$B_{2}^{f} = -\gamma_{f}^{(2)} + b_{0}X_{v}^{f} \qquad b_{0} = \frac{11}{6}C_{A} - \frac{2}{3}T_{R}N_{f}$$
Davies and Stirling 1984
Catani, De Florian and Grazzini 2001
Banfi et al. 2019
$$\gamma_{q}^{(2)} = C_{F}^{2}\left(\frac{3}{8} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{2} + 6\zeta(3)\right) + C_{F}C_{A}\left(\frac{17}{24} + \frac{11\pi^{2}}{18} - 3\zeta(3)\right) - C_{F}T_{R}n_{f}\left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2\pi^{2}}{9}\right)$$

$$-\gamma_{g}^{(2)} = \frac{4}{3}C_{A}T_{R}n_{f} + C_{F}T_{R}n_{f} - C_{A}^{2}\left(\frac{8}{3} + 3\zeta_{3}\right)$$
Endpoints of
NLO DGLAP
kernels

Computing a differential $B_2(z)$



- In a shower approach we could encode info. on B₂ as function of emission kinematics
- Conceptually related to extension of K_{CMW} into collinear limit i.e. derive a function $B_2(z)$
- K_{CMW} computed from double-soft splitting kernels
- B₂ related to triple-collinear splittings

Splitting kernels : quarks





 $C_{\text{F}}~T_{\text{R}}~n_{\text{f}}\,\text{and}~C_{\text{F}}~(C_{\text{F}}\text{-}C_{\text{A}}/2)$ pieces



 $\left\langle \hat{P}_{\vec{q}_{1}'q_{2}'q_{3}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} C_{F} T_{R} \frac{s_{123}}{s_{12}} \left[-\frac{t_{12,3}^{2}}{s_{12}s_{123}} + \frac{4z_{3} + (z_{1} - z_{2})^{2}}{z_{1} + z_{2}} + (1 - 2\varepsilon) \left(z_{1} + z_{2} - \frac{s_{12}}{s_{123}} \right) \right]$

 $\sum_{c}^{c} \sum_{c}^{c} \sum_{c$

Quark jets have four distinct pieces from 3 branching processes.

Splitting kernels : gluons



 C_A^2 term

 $C_A T_R n_f$ term

$$\begin{array}{rcl} z_{2} = 1 - z & z_{3} = z \, z_{p} & \langle \hat{P}_{g_{1}q_{2}\bar{q}_{3}}^{(\mathrm{ab})} \rangle &= -2 - (1 - \epsilon) s_{23} \left(\frac{1}{s_{12}} + \frac{1}{s_{13}}\right) + 2 \frac{s_{123}^{2}}{s_{12}s_{13}} \left(1 + z_{1}^{2} - \frac{z_{1} + 2z_{2}z_{3}}{1 - \epsilon}\right) \\ & - \frac{s_{123}}{s_{12}} \left(1 + 2z_{1} + \epsilon - 2 \frac{z_{1} + z_{2}}{1 - \epsilon}\right) - \frac{s_{123}}{s_{13}} \left(1 + 2z_{1} + \epsilon - 2 \frac{z_{1} + z_{3}}{1 - \epsilon}\right) \\ & - \frac{\theta_{2,13}}{s_{12}} \left(\theta_{13} - z_{1}\right) - z_{1} = z(1 - z_{p}) \end{array}$$

- 3 real emission kernels to consider
- Additionally a pure T_R² term from virtual corrections



Virtual corrections



$$\begin{split} P_{q \to gq}^{(1)} &= \frac{c_{\Gamma} g_s^2}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{-s_{12} - \imath 0}{\mu^2} \right)^{-\epsilon} \left[P_{q \to gq}^{(0)} \left(\frac{(C_F - C_A) \left(\epsilon (\delta \epsilon^2 + \epsilon - 3) + 1\right)}{(\epsilon - 1)(2\epsilon - 1)} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \left(C_A - 2C_F \right) \, _2F_1 \left(1, -\epsilon; 1 - \epsilon; \frac{z_1}{z_1 - 1} \right) - C_A \, _2F_1 \left(1, -\epsilon; 1 - \epsilon; \frac{z_1 - 1}{z_1} \right) + C_F \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{g_s^2 C_F}{z_1} \, \frac{(z_1 - 2)(z_1 - 1)\epsilon^2 (\delta \epsilon - 1) \left(C_A - C_F \right)}{(\epsilon - 1)(2\epsilon - 1)} \right] + \text{c.c.} \,, \end{split}$$

- Also need the one-loop corrections to a collinear 1 to 2 splitting
- Taken from De Florian, Rodrigo, Sborlini (2013)
- Perform an integral over real emission phase space at fixed kinematics for a suitably defined first splitting. Do this in dim. reg. and combine with virtual piece.



Calculations and Results



Calculations : set up



• We compute a collinear limit NLO correction to a given 1 to 2 splitting

$$\frac{\theta^2}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2 \sigma}{dz \, d\theta^2} = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_3(z_i, \theta_{ij}) \frac{\left(8\pi \alpha_s \mu^{2\epsilon}\right)^2}{s_{123}^2} \left\langle \hat{P} \right\rangle \theta^2 \,\delta\left(\theta^2 - \theta^2\left(z_i, \theta_{ij}\right)\right) \delta(z - z(z_i)) \,\Theta_{\mathrm{cut}}(\theta_{ij})$$

- Fix energy and angle of the initial collinear splitting
- Our definition of energy fraction and angle are based on triple collinear configurations.
- Multiple definitions possible but in soft and collinear limits always point back to a unique 1 to 2 splitting.

Results : C_F T_R n_f piece



Consider fixing z and parent angle

$$\theta_g^2 = z_p \theta_{13}^2 + (1 - z_p) \theta_{23}^2 - z_p (1 - z_p) \theta_{12}^2$$

$$\rho = z_1 z_3 \theta_{13}^2 + z_2 z_3 \theta_{23}^2 + z_1 z_2 \theta_{12}^2$$

$$\frac{\rho}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2 \sigma^{(2)}}{d\rho \, dz} \right)^{C_F T_R n_f} = C_F T_R n_f \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \left(\frac{2}{3}\ln\left(\rho(1-z)\right) - \frac{10}{9}\right) - \frac{2}{3}(1-z)\right) \quad \bullet$$

$$\left(\frac{\theta_g^2}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2 \sigma^{(2)}}{d\theta_g^2 \, dz}\right)^{C_F T_R n_f} = C_F T_R n_f \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \left(\frac{2}{3}\ln\left(z(1-z)^2\theta_g^2\right) - \frac{10}{9}\right) - \frac{2}{3}(1-z)\right)$$

Also recovers soft limit exp. for scale and scheme

- NLO Results related by LO substitution
- Effect of gluon virtuality incorporated in K and z dependence
- Results contain info. on scale and scheme of coupling beyond soft limit

Results : $C_F(C_F-C_A/2)$ piece



- Identical particle interference term is purely finite.. Cannot identify parent uniquely but this ambiguity is irrelevant. Fixing any angle gives same result
- Can look at either quark or antiquark distribution. Fixing z of either quark gives :

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2 \sigma^{(2)}}{d\rho \, dz}\right)^{(\text{id.})} &= \left(\frac{\theta_g^2}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2 \sigma^{(2)}}{d\theta_g^2 \, dz}\right)^{(\text{id.})} = C_F \left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{P}^{(\text{id.})}(z),\\ \mathcal{P}^{(\text{id.})}(z) &= \left(4z - \frac{7}{2}\right) + \frac{5z^2 - 2}{2(1 - z)} \ln z + \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \ln z \ln(1 - z) - \text{Li}_2(z)\right) \end{split}$$

• Fixing z of anti-quark gives directly the non-singlet MSbar fragmentaton function $P_{q\bar{q}}^{V,(1)}$ (Eq. 4.108 of Ellis, Stirling, Webber text)

Results : pure C_F C_A piece

$$z_1 = (1-z)z_p$$

$$\theta_{12}$$

$$z_2 = (1-z)(1-z_p)$$

More involved calc. due to soft divergences. Final answer similar to nf piece.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2 \sigma^{(2)}}{d\rho \, dz}\right)^{\text{nab.}} &= C_F C_A \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \mathcal{P}^{(\text{nab.})}(z;\rho) \\ \mathcal{P}^{(\text{nab.})}(z;\rho) &= \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}\right) \left(-\frac{11}{6} \ln \left(\rho(1-z)\right) + \frac{67}{18} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \ln^2 z + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) + 2 \,\text{Li}_2(1-z)\right) + \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} \frac{z^2 \ln z}{1-z} + \frac{1}{6} (8-5z) \end{aligned}$$
Note again appearance of KCMW coeff. and b₀ in

Note again appearance of KCMW coeff. and $b_0 \ln k_t$ term with rest giving hard collinear extension



Extracting $B_2(z)$

- Involves removing higher log order ingredients from our results.
- Illustrate on n_f term for quark jets

$$\left(\frac{\theta_g^2}{\sigma_0}\frac{d^2\sigma^{(2)}}{d\theta_g^2\,dz}\right)^{C_F T_R n_f} = C_F T_R n_f \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}\left(\frac{2}{3}\ln\left(z(1-z)^2\theta_g^2\right) - \frac{10}{9}\right) - \frac{2}{3}(1-z)\right)$$

First remove soft limit terms

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta_g^2}{\sigma_0} \frac{d^2 \sigma^{(2)}}{d\theta_g^2 \, dz} \end{pmatrix}^{\text{soft}, C_F T_R n_f} = C_F T_R n_f \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{2}{1-z} \left(\frac{2}{3} \ln\left((1-z)^2 \theta_g^2\right) - \frac{10}{9}\right)$$
$$= C_F \frac{2}{1-z} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(-b_0^{(n_f)} \ln\frac{k_t^2}{E^2} + K^{(n_f)}\right) ,$$

Remove also remaining NLL hard collinear term

 $\propto -(1+z)\ln heta_g^2$

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}^{q,n_{f}}(z;\theta_{g}^{2}) = C_{F}T_{R}n_{f}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}\frac{2}{3}\ln z - (1+z)\left(\frac{2}{3}\ln(1-z)^{2} - \frac{10}{9}\right) - \frac{2}{3}(1-z)\right)$$

Extracting B₂(z) : gluon splitting channels

Similar exercise gives pure $C_F CA$ term :

MANCHESTER

The University of Mancheste

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}^{q,(\text{nab.})}(z;\theta_{g}^{2}) = C_{F}C_{A}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\right)^{2} \left((1+z)\left(\frac{11}{6}\ln(1-z)^{2} - \frac{67}{18} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\frac{z^{2}\ln z}{1-z} + \frac{8-5z}{6} + \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}\left(-\frac{11}{6}\ln z + \ln^{2} z + \text{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) + 2\text{Li}_{2}(1-z)\right)\right)$$

Results for other variables follow from single emission kinematic relationship e.g.

For analogous C_F C_A relation replace 2/3 by -11/6.

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}^{q,n_{f}}(z;\rho) = \mathcal{B}_{2}^{q,n_{f}}(z;\theta_{g}^{2}) - C_{F}T_{R}n_{f}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}\frac{2}{3}\ln z - (1+z)\frac{2}{3}\ln(1-z)\right)$$

Identical fermion term universal (no NLL piece to remove): $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{q,(\text{id.})}(z) = C_F \left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(4z - \frac{7}{2} \right) + \frac{5z^2 - 2}{2(1-z)} \ln z + \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \ln z \ln(1-z) - \text{Li}_2(z) \right)$



$B_2(z)$ for C_F^2 channel

- Here definition of "first emission" may be given by some ordering
- We take ordering in angle as simple choice with $\theta_{13} > \theta_{23}$

$$z_1 = 1 - z$$
 $z_2 = z(1 - z_p)$

- Obtain B₂ as difference between triple-collinear and iterated 1 to 2 splittings and phase-space + virtual corr. to 1 to 2 splitting.
- Schematically amounts to computing

 $B_2(z) = \int d\Phi_3 P_{1\to3} \,\delta(z - (1 - z_1)) \,\theta(\theta_{13} > \theta_{23}) - \int d\Phi_2^2 P_{1\to2}^2 \,\delta(z - (1 - z_1)) \,\theta(\theta_{13} > \theta_{23}) + V_1(z,\epsilon)$



Here due to ordering part of the result is numerical :

$$\mathcal{B}_{2}^{q,(\text{ab.})}(z) = \left(\frac{C_{F}\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}\left(-3\ln z + 2\text{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{z-1}{z}\right) - 2\ln z\ln(1-z)\right) - 1 + H^{\text{fin.}}(z)\right)$$

$$\int_0^1 H^{\rm fin}(z) \, dz = 4\zeta(3) - \frac{31}{8}$$



The University of Mancheste

Integrals over z

Integrals over z produce the expected form

$$B_2^{q,(\text{ab.})} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s}\right)^2 \int_0^1 \mathcal{B}_2^{q,\text{ab.}}(z) \, dz = \pi^2 - 8\zeta(3) - \frac{29}{8}$$
$$B_2^{q,(\text{ab.})} + B_2^{q,(\text{id.}),C_F^2} = -\gamma_q^{(2,C_F^2)} = C_F^2 \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} - 6\zeta(3) - \frac{3}{8}\right)$$

Combining also other channels we recover full $-\gamma_q^{(2} + C_F b_0 X_v$

with

$$X_{\theta_g^2} = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} - \frac{13}{2}$$
$$X_{\rho} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{7}{2}$$

Agrees with hardcollinear NNLL piece in resummation literature

Becher & Schwartz 2008. Banfi et. al. 2014, 2019

Connecting to fragmentation functions

- Natural to expect link between this work and NLO DGLAP kernels for non-singlet time-like splittings
- Direct link for those pieces where z has the same meaning . Looking at NNLL structure of results for n_f piece we can write it as

$$\begin{split} P^{\text{NLO},n_f}(z;\theta_g^2) &= C_F T_R n_f \left[\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \left(-\frac{2}{3} \ln z - \frac{10}{9} \right) - \frac{4}{3} (1-z) \right] + \\ &+ C_F T_R n_f \left[\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \left(\frac{2}{3} \ln (1-z)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \ln z^2 \right) + \frac{2}{3} (1-z) \right] \end{split}$$

- Bottom line gives $b_0 X$ term on integration. Top line is NLO time-like non-singlet splitting function $P_{qq}^{V(1),n_f}$

Connection to fragmentation functions

- Similarly from pure C_F C_A piece remove "b₀ X" terms (related to n_f ones by 2/3 -11/6).
- Then add <u>twice</u> our result from $C_F(C_F-C_A/2)$ identical fermion term

$$P_{\text{sub.}}^{\text{NLO,nab.}}(z,\rho) + 2 \times \left(-C_F \frac{C_A}{2}\right) \mathcal{P}^{(\text{id.})}(z) = C_F C_A \left[\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \left(\frac{1}{2}\ln^2 z + \frac{11}{6}\ln z + \frac{67}{18} - \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right) + (1+z)\ln z + \frac{20}{3}(1-z)\right] ,$$

RHS is NLO DGLAP result for $P_{qq}^{V(1),C_FC_A}$



Gluon jet subtleties



- Gluon jets can be handled similarly modulo a few mainly technical differences/subtleties.
- Two histories involved at Born level
- Independent and correlated emission pictures mixed within same colour channel
- IR divergences associated to both emissions in a g to gg branching. Makes definition of basic 1 to 2 splitting more subtle.



IRC safe procedure for defining z and θ based on SoftDrop declustering

arXiv:2307.15 7342

Gluon jets results summary

- We obtain results for each channel with a fully analytic result in the C_A T_R n_f $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{g,C_{A}T_{R}}(z) = -p_{qg}(z) \left(\ln^{2} z + \ln^{2}(1-z)\right) + \frac{1}{9}(28 - 41z + 41z^{2})$ $+ \ln z \left(\frac{4}{3(1-z)} - \frac{26}{3}z^{2} + 8z - 7\right) + \ln(1-z) \left(\frac{4}{3z} - \frac{26}{3}(1-z)^{2} + 8(1-z) - 7\right).$
- In other channels we have a semi-analytic result c.f. the C_{F²} channel of quark jets The results are consistent with

$$\int_0^1 dz \, B_2(z) = -\gamma_g^{(2)} + b_0 X_\theta^2 \quad X_\theta^2 = \left(-\frac{67}{9} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3}\right) C_A + \frac{23}{9} T_R n_f$$

N.B. In the C_A^2 channel a clustering correction appears specific to C/A clustering. Identical to that of SoftDrop jet mass.



The University of Manchester

Annling

Applications



- Look to define an effective emission prob. relevant for NNLL Sudakov in parton showers or jet calculus.
- Consider combination with LO . Can express as

$$\left(\frac{\theta_g^2}{\sigma_0}\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\theta_g^2dz}\right)^{\text{tot.}} = C_F\left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}\right) \left[\frac{\alpha_s\left(E^2\right)}{2\pi} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2\left(-b_0\ln\left((1-z)^2\theta_g^2\right) + K\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2b_0\ln z\right] + \mathcal{R}$$

Suggests modification of argument of running coupling in h.c. limit

$$= \frac{C_F}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}\right) \alpha_s \left(E_j^2 z (1-z)^2 \theta_g^2\right) \left(1+\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \mathcal{K}(z)\right)$$



Emission probability and Sudakov

More explicitly emission probability (e.g. for quark jets) reads

$$\mathcal{P}_q(z,\theta) \equiv \frac{2C_F}{1-z} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s(E^2g^2(z)\theta^2)}{2\pi} K^{(1)} \right) \\ + \mathcal{B}_1^q(z) + \frac{\alpha_s(E^2g^2(z)\theta^2)}{2\pi} \left(\mathcal{B}_2^q(z) + \mathcal{B}_1^q(z)b_0 \ln g^2(z) \right)$$

Related to this we have a Sudakov form factor

$$\ln \Delta_q(t) = -\int_t^{t_0} dt' \int_{z_0}^{1-z_0} dz \, \mathcal{P}_q(z,\theta)$$

These would form 2 of the main elements of a higher order shower.

In the most general case we would then need the 1 to 3 corrections in the shower

The University of Mancheste



The University of Mancheste

NNLL for groomed jet observables

- Effective emission prob. defines NNLL Sudakov correct in h.c. limit
- Need also soft limit ingredients for full story
- However already possible to directly exploit for pure collinear observables.
- Insight led to new NNLL resummed results for groomed variables measured at the LHC.

NNLL for groomed observables

 Considered groomed angularities and groomed fractional moments of EEC

$$FC_x^{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{2^{-x}}{E^2} \sum_{i \neq j} E_i E_j |\sin \theta_{ij}|^x (1 - |\cos \theta_{ij}|)^{1-x}$$

$$\lambda_x^{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{2^{1-x}}{E} \sum_i E_i |\sin \theta_i|^x (1 - |\cos \theta_i|)^{1-x},$$

Sum runs over particles in hemisphere

Then define

MD et al 2023

$$FC_x \equiv \max\left\{FC_x^{\mathcal{H}_R}, FC_x^{\mathcal{H}_L}\right\}, \ \lambda_x \equiv \max\left\{\lambda_x^{\mathcal{H}_R}, \lambda_x^{\mathcal{H}_L}\right\}$$

NNLL from emission probability

Results take the form

$$\Sigma^{q}(v) = \sigma_{0}^{Z \to q\bar{q}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}(E^{2})}{2\pi} C_{v}^{q(1)}(z_{\text{cut}}) \right) e^{-2R_{v}^{q}(v, z_{\text{cut}})} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}(E^{2})}{(2\pi)^{2}} 2\mathcal{F}_{\text{clust}}^{q}(v) \right)$$
$$\Sigma^{g}(v) = \sigma_{0}^{H \to gg} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}(E^{2})}{2\pi} C_{v}^{g(1)}(z_{\text{cut}}) \right) e^{-2R_{v}^{g}(v, z_{\text{cut}})} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}(E^{2})}{(2\pi)^{2}} 2\mathcal{F}_{\text{clust}}^{g}(v) \right)$$

The NNLL piece of the Sudakov comes from the integral of emission probability for quark and gluon jets e.g. for angularities:

$$B_{2,\lambda_x}^q = B_{2,\theta^2}^q + C_F b_0 \frac{(9 - \pi^2 + 9\ln 2)}{3(2 - x)} = -\gamma_q^{(2)} + b_0 X_{\lambda_x}^q,$$

$$B_{2,\lambda_x}^g = B_{2,\theta^2}^g + \frac{b_0}{2 - x} \left(C_A \left(\frac{137}{36} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{44\ln 2}{12} \right) - T_R n_f \left(\frac{29}{18} + \frac{4\ln 2}{3} \right) \right)$$

Results extend to jets in any hard process e.g. LHC processes

Summary and Conclusions

- NLL showers becoming well established. NNLL accuracy becomes realistic next target
- Needs higher-order kernels + specific analytic ingredients
- Discussed one key ingredient $B_2(z)$. This gives NNLL hard collinear Sudakov form factor
- Recovered standard results in hard-collinear limit for IRC safe observables and derive new results for groomed obervables plus establised contact with NLO DGLAP splitting kernels
- Much work to be done in terms of inclusion in parton showers.