

① AXIAL ANOMALY :

CALCULATION OF TRIANGLE DIAGRAMS



The first triangle diagram gives

$$e^2 \int \frac{d^4e}{(2\pi)^4} T_1 \left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \frac{i(\not{e}-\not{k})}{(e-k)^2} \gamma^\lambda \frac{i\not{e}}{e^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{i(\not{e}+\not{p})}{(e+p)^2} \right]$$

while the second gives a similar contribution with $(p, \nu) \leftrightarrow (k, \lambda)$

If the axial current is conserved, we should get a vanishing contribution when contracting with q^μ . Let us multiply by $i q_\mu$ and use

$$q_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 = (\not{e} + \not{p} - \not{e} + \not{k}) \gamma^5 = (\not{e} + \not{p}) \gamma^5 + \gamma^5 (\not{e} - \not{k})$$

In the above expression each momentum factor can be used to cancel a contribution of the denominator and we get

$$\begin{aligned} i e^2 q_\mu \int \frac{d^4e}{(2\pi)^4} T_1 [\dots] &= i e^2 \int \frac{d^4e}{(2\pi)^4} T_1 \left[\gamma^5 \frac{i(\not{e}-\not{k})}{(e-k)^2} \gamma^\lambda \frac{i\not{e}}{e^2} \gamma^\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda \frac{i\not{e}}{e^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{i(\not{e}+\not{p})}{(e+p)^2} \right] \\ &= i e^2 \int \frac{d^4e}{(2\pi)^4} T_1 \left[\gamma^5 \frac{i(\not{e}-\not{k})}{(e-k)^2} \gamma^\lambda \frac{i\not{e}}{e^2} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\lambda \gamma^5 \frac{i\not{e}}{e^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{i(\not{e}+\not{p})}{(e+p)^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

if we now shift in the first term $e \rightarrow e+k$ we get

$$i e^2 \int \frac{d^4e}{(2\pi)^4} T_1 \left[\gamma^5 \frac{i\not{e}}{e^2} \gamma^\lambda \frac{i(\not{e}+\not{k})}{(e+k)^2} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^5 \frac{i\not{e}}{e^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{i(\not{e}+\not{p})}{(e+p)^2} \gamma^\lambda \right]$$

② We now see that the integral is ANTI-SYMMETRIC in the exchange $(p, u) \leftrightarrow (k, \lambda)$ so it will give a vanishing contribution when combined with the second diagram. However this derivation required a shift of the integration. Is it legitimate? The integral is divergent, so we have to be careful!

EXAMPLE

Consider the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx (x+a) = \left. \frac{x^2}{2} + ax \right|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = \infty \cdot \infty$

If we shift $x \rightarrow x-a$ we get $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' x' = 0$?!

The computation of the anomaly can be carried out with the Pauli-Villars representation and in this case the shift leaves a finite remainder. Here we will use dimensional regularization. We know that in d dimensions we can still define

$\gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ but that $\{\gamma_5, \gamma^M\} = 0$ holds only for $M=0,1,2,3$ while γ_5 commutes with γ^M for other values of M

In the calculation that we have to perform all the momenta p, k, q live in 4 dimensions unless l can be written as $l = l_{||} + l_{\perp}$ ↙ component in $d-4$ dimensions

The identity that we have used before can thus be rewritten as

$$q_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 = (\not{q} + \not{p} - \not{k} + \not{k}) \gamma_5 = (\not{q}_{||} + \not{p} - \not{k}_{||} + \not{k}) \gamma_5 = (\not{q}_{||} + \not{p}) \gamma_5 + \gamma_5 (\not{q}_{||} - \not{k})$$

$$= (\not{q} + \not{p}) \gamma_5 + \gamma_5 (\not{q} - \not{k}) - 2 \not{k}_{\perp} \gamma_5$$

↖ ADDITIONAL TERM

In dimensional regularization, we can use this modified identity and apply the momentum shift to cancel the two triangle diagrams, but we are left with

$$e^2 \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \text{Tr} \left[-2 \gamma_5 \not{k}_{\perp} \frac{\not{q}-\not{k}}{(q-k)^2} \gamma^{\lambda} \frac{\not{q}}{e^2} \gamma^{\nu} \frac{\not{q}+\not{p}}{(q+p)^2} \right] + (p, u) \leftrightarrow (k, \lambda)$$

③

To evaluate this contribution we should use the Feynman parametrization

$$\frac{1}{A_1 A_2 A_3} = \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) \frac{2}{(x_1 A_1 + x_2 A_2 + x_3 A_3)^3}$$

to reduce the product of denominators to a single denominator, and then shift the loop

momentum $l \rightarrow l' = l + P$ so as to have the denominator in the form $l'^2 + \Delta$

Since the momentum P is a function of the external momenta, and thus lives in

four dimensions, we have $l'_\perp = l_\perp$. In the integral we can get a non vanishing

contribution from terms with 2 and 4 l . However, since

$$\int \frac{d^D l'}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{l'_\mu l'_\nu l'_\rho l'_\sigma}{(l'^2 + \Delta)^m} \sim \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{\rho\sigma} + \delta_{\mu\rho} \delta_{\nu\sigma} + \delta_{\mu\sigma} \delta_{\nu\rho}$$

these terms lead to a contribution containing $\text{Tr}[\gamma_5 \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\rho]$ which vanishes.

\Rightarrow the only non vanishing contribution is obtained from terms quadratic in the loop momentum

We are thus left to evaluate the integral

$$\int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{l'_\perp l'_\perp}{(l'^2 + \Delta)^3}$$

but $l'_\perp l'_\perp = l'^2 = l'_\perp^\mu l'_\perp^\nu \delta_{\mu\nu}$ (we use l instead of l' from now)

\Rightarrow the integral of $l'_\perp^\mu l'_\perp^\nu$

will give $\delta_{\mu\nu}$ $\delta_{\mu\nu}^M = d-4$

If instead of $l'_\perp l'_\perp$ we had l'^2 we would have obtained $g^M_M = d$

\Rightarrow we can replace $l'_\perp l'_\perp$ with $l'^2 \frac{d-4}{d}$

By using the well known formula

$$\int \frac{d^D l}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{l'^2}{(l'^2 + \Delta)^3} = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{d}{2} \frac{\Gamma(2 - \frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(3)} (\Delta)^{\frac{D}{2} - 2}$$

④ We can write

$$\int \frac{d^d e^i}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{k'_1 k'_1}{(e^{i2-\Delta})^3} = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{d}{2} \frac{\Gamma(2-\frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(3)} (A)^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \cdot \frac{d-4}{d} \xrightarrow{d \rightarrow 4} \boxed{\frac{-i}{2(4\pi)^2}}$$

We see that the divergence of the integral at $d \rightarrow 4$, which is contained in the factor

$$\Gamma(2-\frac{d}{2}) \sim -\frac{2}{d-4} \quad \text{is cancelled by the factor } d-4$$

For the first triangular diagram we thus get* $\left(\text{Tr} [\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho] = -4i \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\nu\rho} \right)$

$$e^2 \left(\frac{-i}{2(4\pi)^2} \right) \text{Tr} \left[-2\gamma^5 (-\not{k}) \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\nu \not{p} \right] = \frac{e^2}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\rho\nu} k_\mu p_\rho$$

This expression is symmetric under the exchange $(\rho, \nu) \leftrightarrow (\kappa, \lambda)$

\Rightarrow The second diagram gives just an additional factor 2



* Note that the integration on the Feynman parameters becomes trivial because there is no dependence on the x_i

$$2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) = 1$$