Search of Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay with the GERDA Experiment

Giovanni Benato for the GERDA Collaboration

University of Zurich

ICHEP 2014
Valencia, 2-9 July 2014

The GERDA Collaboration



Two neutrino double beta decay ($2\nu\beta\beta$)

- If β-decay energetically forbidden $\rightarrow$ $2\nu2\beta$ decay might be possible.
- $2\nu2\beta$ decay introduced by Maria Goeppert-Mayer in 1935.
- $T^{2\nu}_{1/2}$ usually of order of 10^{19-21} years.
- For ^{76}Ge: $T^{2\nu}_{1/2} = \left(1.84^{+0.14}_{-0.10}\right) \cdot 10^{21}$ yr*



Neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$)

- Violates lepton number conservation ($\Delta L = 2$)
- Possible only if ν's have Majorana mass component
- Could enlighten the neutrino mass hierarchy

Experimental signatures

- $2\nu\beta\beta$: continuum
- $0\nu\beta\beta$: peak at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (2039 keV for ^{76}Ge)

*J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 40 (2013) 035110

The mass mechanism

Expected decay rate (for light ν exchange):

$$\left(T^{0\nu}_{1/2}\right)^{-1} = G^{0\nu}(Q,Z)\left|M^{0\nu}\right|^2\langle m_{ee}\rangle^2$$

where:

- $G^{0\nu}(Q,Z)$ = Phase Space integral
- $\left|M^{0\nu}\right|^2$ = nuclear matrix element
- $\langle m_{ee}\rangle^2 = \sum_i U_{ei}^2 m_i$ = effective ν mass
- U_{ei} = elements of the PMNS mixing matrix

Space for competing technologies!

Experimental Sensitivity

Sensitivity on $T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ for exclusion limit at n_σ CL with non null background is given by:

$$T^{0\nu}_{1/2}(n_\sigma) = \frac{\ln 2 \cdot N_A}{n_\sigma\sqrt{2}}\frac{f\cdot\varepsilon}{m_A}\sqrt{\frac{M\cdot t}{BI\cdot\Delta E}}$$

where:

f = enrichment fraction
N_A = Avogadro number
m_A = atomic mass
ε = total efficiency
M = detector mass
t = livetime
$M\cdot t$ = exposure
BI = Background Index
ΔE = energy resolution



- Located in Hall A at Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso of INFN
- 3800 mwe overburden
- Array of bare Ge detectors 86% enriched in ^{76}Ge directly inserted in liquid argon (LAr)
- Minimal amount of material in proximity of the diodes



Experiment structure

- 590 m^3 Water Tank as shielding and veto for cosmic muons (PMTs)
- 64 m^3 Liquid Argon (LAr) for cooling and shielding (and vetoing)
- Plastic scintillators above the cryostat to further veto cosmic muons

Ge detector readout

- Ge diode in reverse bias → measurement of ionization energy
- FADC allows offline analysis of recorded signals





Data processing framework: GELATIO



- Digital signal processing to extract energy, rise time, pulse shape discrimination (PSD) parameters, ...
- Eur. Phys. J. C (2013) 73:2330
- JINST 6 (2011) P08013
- J. Phys., Conf. Ser. 368 (2012) 012047

The two phases of GERDA

	Mass [kg]	BI [counts/(keV·kg·yr)]	Livetime [yr]	Expected $T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ Sensitivity [yr]
Phase I	15	10^{-2}	1	$2.4 \cdot 10^{25}$
Phase II	35	10^{-3}	3	$1.4 \cdot 10^{26}$

Coaxial detectors

- Inherited from HdM and IGEX experiments
- 2.4‰ FWHM at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (1.7‰ reachable with better cables & shaping)
- Total enriched mass: 17.7 kg (14.6 kg used for analysis)

BEGe detectors (design for Phase II)

- BEGe = Broad Energy Germanium
- 1.6‰ FWHM at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (1.2‰ reachable)
- $\sim$ 20 kg of BEGe's produced and tested in 2012
- 5 BEGe's inserted in GERDA in July 2012







FWHM at $Q_{\beta\beta}$

detector	FWHM [keV]
SUM-coax	
ANG2	5.8 (3)
ANG3	4.5 (1)
ANG4	4.9 (3)
ANG5	4.2 (1)
RG1	4.5 (3)
RG2	4.9 (3)
mean coax	4.8 (2)
SUM-BEGe	
GD32B	2.6 (1)
GD32C	2.6 (1)
GD32D	3.7 (5)
GD35B	4.0 (1)
mean BEGe	3.2(2)



FWHM at ^{42}K peak





- Spikes: calibration runs
- Flat parts: BEGe's insertion (June 2012), maintenance
- Total livetime: 492.3 days
- Exposure: 21.6 kg·yr
- Used 6 coaxial (14.6 kg) and 4 BEGe (3.0 kg)

Blind analysis and unblinding procedure

- 40 keV blind region around $Q_{\beta\beta}$
- Background model published before unblinding (EPJC 74 (2014) 2764)
- Fixed data processing procedure, quality cuts, PSD methods and statistical analysis



The Background of GERDA Phase I







- Split coaxial data in two sets (Golden and Silver), according to the BI
- BEGe data kept separated, due to different resolution and background

dataset	exposure [kg·yr]	FWHM @ $Q_{\beta\beta}$ [keV]
Golden	17.90	4.8 ± 0.2
Silver	1.30	4.6 ± 0.2
BEGe	2.40	3.2 ± 0.2

Background models

- Minimum model containing only known and visible background sources
- Alternative (maximum) model containing the same isotopes but more possible locations

Both models predict a flat background at $Q_{\beta\beta}$





Analysis recipe:

- Fit with Gaussian peak and flat background in the 1930-2190 keV region, excluding known gamma peaks at 2104 (^{208}Tl SEP) and 2119 keV (^{214}Bi).

PSD	Dataset	Obs.	Exp. bkg
no	Golden	5	3.3
	Silver	1	0.8
	BEGe	1	1.0
yes	Golden	2	2.0
	Silver	1	0.4
	BEGe	0	0.1



Profile Likelihood Method

- best fit $N^{0\nu} = 0$
- No excess of signal over bkg
- 90% C.L. lower limit:

$$T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr}$$

- Median sensitivity: $2.4 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr

Bayesian Approach

- Flat prior for $1/T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ in $[0; 10^{-24}]$ yr^{-1}
- best fit $N^{0\nu} = 0$
- 90% credibility interval: $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 1.9 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr
- Median sensitivity: $2.0 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr

GERDA Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 122503







Previous limits

- HdM 2001: $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 1.9 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% C.L.) EPJ A12 (2001) 147-154
- IGEX 2002: $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 1.57 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% C.L.) Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 092007

Combining the limits

- Same result with PL and Bayesian approach

$$T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 3.0 \cdot 10^{25} \text{ yr (90\%) C.L.}$$

Comparison with Phys. Lett. B 586 198 (2004)

- Claimed signal with $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} = \left(1.19^{+0.37}_{-0.23}\right) \cdot 10^{25}$ yr
- H1: claimed signal (5.9 ± 1.4)
- H0: background only
- Bayes factor: $P(H1)/P(H0) = 0.024$
- P-value from PL: $P(N^{0\nu} = 0|H1) = 0.01$
- Comparison independent of NME and physical mechanism generating $0\nu2\beta$

Claim strongly disfavored

From arXiv:1404.2616v1:



- GERDA, KamLAND-Zen and EXO-200 succesfully completed their first phase
- Klapdor's claim strongly disfavoured
- The field of research is open again to search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay

(Near) future experiments:

- ^{76}Ge: GERDA PhaseII, Majorana, GERDA+Majorana
- ^{136}Xe: Exo-200, nEXO, KamLAND2-Zen, NEXT-100, MAGIX/GraXe
- Bolometers: CUORE, AMoRE, LUCIFER
- Other technologies: SNO+, CANDLES, SuperNEMO, DCBA
- Plus many others...

Summary

- GERDA Phase I data taking successfully completed
- $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay: $T^{2\nu}_{1/2} = 1.84^{+0.14}_{-0.10} \cdot 10^{21}$ yr
- $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay: $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% CL)

Outlook

- Upgrade for GERDA Phase II is ongoing
- Aimed sensitivity: $1.4 \cdot 10^{26}$ yr
- Slowly approaching the inverted hierarchy land...



Goal: reach 10^{26} yr sensitivity in $T^{0\nu}_{1/2}$

- Increase the statistics
  - More active mass (new BEGe detectors)
  - Longer data taking
- Improve energy resolution
  - Use BEGe detectors
  - Improve shaping filter
- Reduce Background
  - Cleaner cables and electronics
  - Lighter detector holders
  - Special care in crystal production
  - Reject residual background radiation
    - Improve PSD (BEGe detectors)
    - Read LAr scintillation light







- PSD: distinguish between ($0\nu2\beta$) signal-like events (SSE) and background-like events (MSE, p^+, n^+)
- Different PSD needed for coaxial and BEGe detectors





- Simulated current pulse in coaxial detector



- Simulated current pulse in BEGe

Coaxial: Artificial Neural Network (ANN)

- TMVA/TMIpANN applied to time when the pulse reaches 1, 3, . . . , 99%
- SSE training with signal-like ^{208}Tl DEP at 1592 keV
- MSE training with background-like ^{212}Bi FEP at 1621 keV
- Cut adjusted for each detector to have 90% survival probability on DEP



BEGe: A/E

- A = amplitude of current pulse
- E = energy
- High capability of distinguishing SSE from MSE, p^+ and n^+ events
- Well tested and documented method*



- Acceptance for $2\nu2\beta$: 0.91 ± 0.05
- Acceptance for $0\nu2\beta$: 0.92 ± 0.02

[a] JINST 4 (2009) P10007; JINST 3 (2011) P03005; EPJC 73 (2013) 2583

- Spectra calibrated (bi)-weekly with ^{228}Th sources
- Data useful also for monitoring the resolution and gain stability over time