The GERDA Experiment for the Search of Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay

Manuel Walter for the GERDA collaboration

Discrete Conference, 2.- 6. December 2014, London

Experiment Site



GERDA employs Ge detectors enriched in ^{76}Ge doing double beta decay => detector = source

Double Beta Decay





Standard Model 2ν2β decay:

- known for: ^{48}Ca, ^{76}Ge, ^{82}Se, ^{96}Zr, ^{100}Mo, ^{116}Cd, ^{128}Te, ^{130}Te, ^{150}Nd, ^{238}U, ^{130}Ba, ^{136}Xe
- $T_{1/2}(2\nu)$ in the range of $10^{18\text{-}24}$ yr
- ^{76}Ge: $T_{2\nu} = 1.8^{+0.14}_{-0.10} \cdot 10^{25}$ yr [1]

GERDA is searching for the 0ν2β decay.
If it is discovered:

- lepton number is violated (ΔL = 2)
- requires physics beyond the Standard Model
- a likely mechanism is ”massive Majorana neutrino exchange”, see e.g. [2]



[1] GERDA Collaboration, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 40 (2013) 035110

[2] W. Rodejohann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E20, 1833-1930 (2011)

The GERDA Experiment
(Phase I)



Experimental signature:

- peak at $Q_{\beta\beta} = m(A, Z) - m(A, Z-2)$
  $= 2039$ keV for ^{76}Ge

Experimental setup:

- bare Ge diodes enriched to 86 % of ^{76}Ge:
  - directly immersed in a 5.5 m high 64 m^3 liquid Ar cryostat: cooling and shielding
- water Cherenkov detector (590 m^3 , 8.5 m heigh), veto muons, absorb neutrons, γ rays
- plastic scintillator to veto muons going through the cryostat neck

Mockup of the GERDA experiment

[GERDA Collab., Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2330]

Sensitivity and Timeline

Sensitivity:

- $$T_{1/2}^{0\nu}(n_\sigma) = \frac{\ln 2 \cdot N_A}{n_\sigma \sqrt{2}} \frac{f_{76} \cdot \varepsilon}{m_A} \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot t}{BI \cdot \Delta E}}$$
  $$= const \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot t}{BI \cdot \Delta E}}$$

  M = detector mass

  t = livetime

  BI = background index

  ΔE = energy resolution, excellent in Ge

- => background reduction directly increases the sensitivity

Phase I background reduction

- careful material selection
- cut detector coincidences
- block ^{42}K ions from drifting to the detectors using minishrouds
- use pulse shape discrimination

Timeline:

- March 2008: cryostat installation
- May 2010: start of commissioning
- Nov 2011 – May 2013: Phase I
- currently: Phase II upgrade

Two Phases:	Mass [kg]	BI [cts/(keV·kg·yr)]	Exposure [kg·yr]	$T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$ Sensitivity [yr]
I (finished)	18	10^{-2}	21.6	$2 \cdot 10^{25}$
II (expected)	35	10^{-3}	100	$1.4 \cdot 10^{26}$

Background Sources

α decays on the p+ surface

- have specific pulse shapes
- the outer n+ electrode of the detectors is not active => does not see α events

β decay of ^{42}K (from ^{42}Ar) on the surface or close to the detector

- can penetrate the n+ electrode
- have specific pulse shape, some deposit energy in LAr

β decay of ^{60}Co inside the detectors

- in coincidence with γ => multi site event (MSE)

γ rays from ^{208}Tl, ^{214}Bi from various set-up components

- large fraction of multi site events



Phase I Background & Datasets



dataset	exposure [kg·yr]
Golden	17.90
Silver	1.30
BEGe	2.40

data taken with blinded ROI

Increased background after removal of two nat-coaxial and insertion of BEGe's:

- Silver: enriched coax data taken in June and July 2012
- Gold: all other enriched coax data
- BEGe data kept separately, due to different energy resolution and background
- natural detectors



GERDA Phase I Background at $Q_{\beta\beta}$

Background Models:

- minimum model containing only known and visible background sources
- alternative (maximum model) containing the same isotopes but more possible locations
- both models predict a flat background at $Q_{\beta\beta}$



[10^{-3}cts/(keV·kg·yr)]	GOLD-coax	SUM-BEGe
interpolation	17.5[15.1, 20.1]	36.1[26.4, 49.3]
minimum	18.5[17.6, 19.3]	38.1[37.5, 38.7]
maximum	21.9[20.7, 23.8]	-
after PSD	11[9, 13]	5[2, 9]

For 0ν2β analysis:

- use an interpolation of the background by a constant excluding known γ peaks at 2104 (^{208}Tl SEP) and 2119 keV (^{214}Bi). Value is consistent with model predictions
- table:
  BI before and after Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD) in ROI

Phase I Results

PSD	Dataset	Obs.	Exp. bkg
no	golden	5	3.3
	silver	1	0.8
	BEGe	1	1.0
yes	golden	2	2.0
	silver	1	0.4
	BEGe	0	0.1



Empty: rejected by PSD

Filled: accepted by PSD

Profile Likelihood Method

- best fit $N_{0\nu} = 0$
- no excess over background
- 90% C.L. lower limit:

$$T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25}\,\text{yr}$$

Bayesian Approach

- flat prior for $1/T_{1/2}$ in $[0; 10^{-24}]\ \text{yr}^{-1}$
- best fit $N_{0\nu} = 0$
- 90% credibility interval:
- $T_{0\nu} > 1.9 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr

GERDA Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 122503

Comparison with other Experiments

Claimed observation of 0ν2β decay $T_{0\nu} = 1.19 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr [1]:

- prediction for GERDA: 5.9 ±1.4 signal cts over 2.0 ±0.3 bkg cts in $Q_{\beta\beta}$ ±2σ
- GERDA observed 3 cts in $Q_{\beta\beta}$ ±2σ, 0 cts in $Q_{\beta\beta}$ ± 1σ
  ⇒ claim disfavoured with 99% probability

Combining with HdM 2001 and IGEX 2002:

- $T_{1/2} > 3.0 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90%) C.L.
- combined ^{76}Ge limit on effective Majorana neutrino mass: $m_{\beta\beta} < 0.2 - 0.4$ eV (depends on nuclear matrix element and phase space factor)

Searches with ^{136}Xe

- KamLAND-Zen (combined):
  - $T_{0\nu} > 2.6 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr [2]
  - $m_{\beta\beta} < 0.14 – 0.28$ eV
- Exo-200:
  - $T_{0\nu} > 1.1 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr [3]
  - $m_{\beta\beta} < 0.19 – 0.45$ eV

[1] Phys. Lett. B 586,198 (2004)

[2] Phys. Rev. C86:021601 (2012)

[3] Nature (2014), doi:10.1038/nature13432

Phase II Upgrades

Additional 20 kg of BEGe detectors

- total mass ≈ 35 kg
- enhanced PSD performance
- factor 1.5 better energy resolution
- improved preamplifier and contacting
- radio cleaner holder

Active liquid Ar Veto

- significant γ background reduction

Low n-flux custom calibration sources

Total background reduction by 1 order of magnitude:

- 10^{-3} counts/(keV·kg·yr)





Pulse Shape Discrimination

BEGe and Coaxial geometry result in different el. fields and pulse shapes

- require different PSD methods





- simulated SSE current pulse in coaxial detector
- low PSD capabilities
  - use Artificial Neural Network



- simulated SSE current pulse in BEGe
- high PSD capabilities
  - cut based method

PSD with BEGe detectors

Signal events:

- single location in the detector bulk (SSE)

Background:

- γ: mostly multiple interaction sites (MSE)
- α and β: mostly surface





Background rejection:

- cut on amplitude A of current pulse / energy E (amplitude of charge pulse)
- efficiency strongly dependent on electronic noise

=> improve noise reduction for Phase II e.g. using wavelets

Phase II Upgrade: Liquid Ar Veto



Principle:

- background events in Ge often in coincidence with a Compton scatter or a second γ in liquid Ar
  - => produces scintillation of 128 nm
- VUV light is shifted to blue light and detected by PMTs and SiPMs

Expected suppression factors:

- ^{60}Co: 27
- ^{226}Ra chain: 4.6
- ^{228}Th chain: 1180

Veto setup:

- each detector string surrounded by transparent nylon
- top and bottom PMT array
- central fibre cylinder



Liquid Ar Veto

Top and bottom array:

- 3” R11065-20 mod PMTs
  - 9 top + 7 bottom
- Cu cylinder lined with Tetratex®
  - coated with wavelength shifter TPB (Tetraphenyl Butadiene)





Central part:

- nylon cylinders coated with TPB + PS
- large cylinder of TPB coated BFC-91A fibres
  - read out by SiPMs

Conclusion and Outlook

Phase I:

- $T_{0\nu} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90% C.L.)
- combined with HdM 2001 and IGEX 2002:
  - $T_{0\nu} > 3.0 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr (90%) C.L.
  - $m_{\beta\beta} < 0.2\text{-}0.4$ eV



Phase II:

- 20 kg of additional BEGe detectors
- liquid Ar veto
- Exposure goal: 100 kg·yr
- background rate: $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ cts/(keV·kg·yr)
- design sensitivity: $T_{0\nu} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{26}$ yr
- start in 2015



The Gerda Collaboration



Thank you for your attention!

Backup Slides

Detectors

Coaxial detectors (Phase I)

- 5 enr-Ge (“ANG”) detectors from Heidelberg-Moscow (HdM), 3 enr-Ge (“RG”) from IGEX, 3 nat-Ge from GeniusTest Facility (GTF)
- detectors reprocessed at Canberra before being used
- two detectors turned off because of high leakage current
  - ⇒ total mass of remaining enriched detectors: 14.6 kg
- ~2‰ FWHM at 2.6 MeV

BEGe detectors (design for Phase II, BEGe = Broad Energy Germanium)

- ~1‰ FWHM at 2.6 MeV
- enhanced Pulse Shape Discrimination (PSD)
- ~ 20 kg of BEGe’s successfully produced and tested in 2012
- 5 BEGe’s inserted in GERDA in July 2012
- one showed instabilities in the energy calibration and was not used

Phase I Data Taking

High average live time fraction.

- spikes due to calibration.

Total exposure of enriched Ge detectors 21.6 kg yr [1].

Data was taken with a blinded energy window of $Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 20$ keV (FWHM ≈ 4.5 keV):

- background models and analysis methods were developed.
- unblinding of side bands: background models verified and analysis methods frozen.
- final unblinding performed on 14th of June and the analysis applied.





Detector Stability

Calibrate with ≈10 peaks from ^{228}Th source





Stable energy calibration: shift < 2 keV over the full data taking period.

- as important as the energy resolution.

Stable energy resolution.



Scanning the Inverse Hierarchy



Need to reach a sensitivity of ~ 10^{28} yr on $T_{0\nu}$ in order to test IH [1]

GERDA Phase II will reach ~ $2 \cdot 10^{26}$ yr

[1] P. S. Bhupal et al., arXiv:1305.0056v2

Minimum Background Model





Both background models provide a half life which is consistent with our previously published value of $T_{1/2\ 2\nu\beta\beta} = 1.84^{+0.14}_{-0.10} \cdot 10^{21}$ yr [1].



[1] GERDA collaboration, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 40 (2013) 035110

Alpha background & Maximum Model

In the range of 3.5 to 5.3 MeV a decrease of the event rate with time is observed with $T_{1/2}$=138.4 ± 0.2 d (statistical uncertainty only), which is compatible with the half life of ^{210}Po of 138.38 d.



Maximum Model:

- use same isotopes as for the Minimum Model but more possible source positions to fit the background
  - higher ^{42}K contribution



Controversial Claim

Claiming a 4.2σ confidence level of the observation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ in ^{76}Ge.

Extending the energy window increases the background and decreases the signal count by up to 40 %. [2]

2006 limit is not considered:

- half-life can be reconstructed only with $\varepsilon_{PSD}=1$



[1] H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus et al., Phys. Lett. B586, 198 (2004).

[2] O.Chkvorets, PhD thesis, Universität Heidelberg, arXiv:0812.1206

Noise Dependency of Rejection Efficiency

Surface event rejection

- high noise



- low noise